

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,650	12/09/2003	Danis Sangois	LUISE.P001	5004	
75	90 05/02/2005		EXAM	INER	
LACKENBACH SIEGEL LLP			KOYAMA, I	KOYAMA, KUMIKO C	
One Chase Road					
Scarsdale, NY 10583			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876		
,			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary  ## Naminor   Art Unit   2875		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Numiko C. Koyama   2876	Office Astion Occurrence	10/731,650	SANGOIS, DANIS				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extractors of the may be available under the provision of 3 or Rf 1.30(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fled  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiry (30) eavs, a reply within the stateory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If No period for reply specified above is less than thiry (30) eavs, a reply within the stateory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eavs, a reply within the stateory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eavs, a reply within the stateory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eavs, a reply within the stateory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eaves a reply within the stateory minimum of the period for reply under a state of the communication, even if timely (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for the specified timely is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for thirty (30) d	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extreasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  If the period for reply specified above, the maintime stabilities period will apply and will expire SIX (a) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be considered above, the maintime stabilities period will apply and will expire SIX (a) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failure to reply will be considered above, the maintime stabilities period will apply and will expire SIX (a) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication, which is the stabilities of the communication, and the communication of the comm	·	Kumiko C. Koyama	2876				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provides of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In so event, however, may a reply be linely field after SIX (8) MONTRIS from the realing date of filix communication.  If the period for treyly section device is less than this (90) days, a reply within the authory minimum at thirty (30) days will be considered frinely.  Faller to reply within the set or extended of the communication of the provided by the Office of the set of the provided of the Office of the SIX (8) MONTRIS from the realing date of this communication. Provided by the Office than there eminimal after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examined patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 February 2005  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-2 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) All boll Some * Cl None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Oopies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 February 2005. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 December 2003 is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 December 2003 is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☑ All b)□ Some *○□ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ★ This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ★ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ├ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6 ★ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ├ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ├ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ├ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ├ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ├ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ├ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ├ Some * c) ├ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ├ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ├ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  □ Notice of Oreitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Status						
4)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 09 December 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on Openmber 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application Papers						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) △ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/731,650

Art Unit: 2876

### DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement is made of receipt of Amendment filed on February 15, 2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doggett et al (US 5,148,595) in view of Schmitz (US 5,235,482).

Doggett discloses a print head that is formed on a substrate 60, which is conventional glass epoxy printed circuit board material, such as FR4 (col 8, lines 15-20). The print head includes bonding pads 54A, 54B and traces 53A, 53B (col 12, lines 15-17). The bonding pads are electroplated metal conductive elements that conduct electricity between the copper traces 53A and the IC 51A (Fig. 2, col 11, lines 62-66). The traces are electrically conductive traces formed by copper (col 8, lines 20-25) and joins the bonding pads and the end of the substrate, which a terminal (Fig. 2). A milling machine is used to assemble the head (col 27, lines 24-27). Doggett also teaches that the driver circuits and the associated logic circuitry that are formed as parts of integrated circuit die mounted on the styli substrate (col 5, lines 40-45). Doggett also teaches a stylus 21, which is an electrode 10 shaped like hole, as shown in Fig. 1b, and the stylus connected to an IC chip, which is a control circuit (col 1, lines 20-25 and Fig. 1b).

Doggett fails to teach that the head is a reading head and a material having an elasticity index enough to achieve pressure on the conductive elements. Doggett also fails to teach a card.

Schmitz teaches an actuator flexible circuit 132 provides electrical signal connection between the actuator 116 and the electric circuit board 106. The left most portion of the actuator flexible circuit 132 is attached to the head positioning actuator and carries such signals as the read/write data from the heads as well as the connections to the coil 120 (col 5, lines 28-35). The material from which the printed circuit board is manufactured may be FR4 per mil standard 13939, with a total thickness of 0.062 (col 8, lines 61-63). As shown in Fig. 1, the head reads a disk, which is considered as a card.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Doggett to the teachings of Schmitz in order to provide a secure electrical connections between components for accurate control of the head and to provide a multi-function head for various use.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Examiner has found new prior art that she believes is more relevant to the instant claimed invention. Therefore, Applicant's arguments are most in view of new grounds of rejection. Subsequently, this action is non-final.

Art Unit: 2876

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kumiko C. Koyama whose telephone number is 571-272-2394. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kumiko C. Koyama Kumiko C. Koyama

April 26, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER